

From: [Paul White](#)
To: [Becki Clark](#); [Charles Ris](#); [David Bussard](#); [Gina Perovich](#); [Jennifer Jinot](#); [Kathleen Deener](#); [Lynn Flowers](#); [Yolanda Sanchez](#); [Vincent Coglianor](#); [Ravi Subramaniam](#); [David Bayliss](#)
Subject: Fw: Follow-up note on lagged exposure variables
Date: 01/06/2012 05:57 PM

We had a valuable discussion with OPP staff members who had interests in the quantitative details of the assessment this morning. I got the feeling that Jennifer and I were able to allay a number of concerns (but not predicting an end to comments though). (b) (5)

(b) (5) sent the following follow-up note indicating that was not the case.

Just wanted to keep all in the loop on interactions with OPP staff.

Paul

----- Forwarded by Paul White/DC/USEPA/US on 01/06/12 05:48 PM -----

From: Paul White/DC/USEPA/US
To: Bayazid Sarkar/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Philip Villanueva/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray Kent/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Rebecca Daiss/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Jennifer Jinot/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bayliss/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/06/12 05:47 PM
Subject: Follow-up note on lagged exposure variables

Thanks again for the good discussions today about statistical matters in the ethylene oxide dose response analysis. I thought that the subject of use of lagged exposure variables in modeling epidemiology data would benefit from a follow-up note. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Thanks again for meeting with us today,

Paul